

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

	States tatent and libetimate Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	WALL HERED BOY

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,779	10/016,779 12/10/2001		Brian Jay Wasserman	9620	3378
26890	7590	07/07/2006		EXAM	INER
	M. STOVE		RUDY, ANDREW J		
		N ERSON BLVD, W	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAYTON, OH 45479			3627		
				DATE MAILED: 07/07/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary			779	WASSERMAN, B	RIAN JAY	
			er	Art Unit		
			Joseph Rudy	3627		
Period f	 The MAILING DATE of this communication is reply 	tion appears on ti	ne cover sheet with	h the correspondence ac	idress	
WHIC - Exte afte - If NO - Faild Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL insions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF T 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ration. The period will apply and by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNIC, bevent, however, may a repwill expire SIX (6) MONTI oplication to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on 08 May 2006				
		☐ This action is	non-final.			
3)□	rs, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
-,	closed in accordance with the practice	•		· •		
Disposit	ion of Claims	·	•	·	· .	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,3-7,9-12 and 15-45 is/are pe	ending in the appl	ication.			
,,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-45</u> is/are w	•				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,9-12 and 15</u> is/are reject	ed.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement.		*2	
,—	ion Papers		1			
	•					
•	The specification is objected to by the Ex		.\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	. 45 - 5		
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	_ •				
	Applicant may not request that any objection		· ·	• •		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the					
'''	The oath or declaration is objected to by	rine Examiner. N	ote the attached t	Office Action of form Pi	10-152.	
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for □ All b) Some * c) None of:	foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have be	en received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have be	en received in Apr	plication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	he priority docum	ents have been re	eceived in this National	Stage	
	application from the International	Bureau (PCT Ru	ile 17.2(a)).			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action fo	or a list of the cer	tified copies not re	eceived.		
Attachmen	t(s)		_			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sur			
_	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	•		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTC)-152)	
	r No(s)/Mail Date	// / /////////////////////////////////	6) Other:	• • • • • •		

Application/Control Number: 10/016,779 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 3-7, 9-12 and 15-45 are pending. Applicant cancelled claims 2,

8, 13 and 14. Claims 16-45 are still withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, rejection is withdrawn. Applicant's May 8, 2006 REMARKS are convincing.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-7, 9-12 and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 4, the phrase "one or more selection criteria" is inconsistent with the phrase which recites "the selection criteria are grouped in order to combine them" from claim 1, line 7. Only one criteria is needed, but Applicant attempts to group one criteria. Thus, the claim 1 language is not clear.

Also, it is suggested Applicant give the full meaning for "SQL" as recited from claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 1, 3-7, 9-12 and 15, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graff, US 5,802,501.

Page 3

Graff discloses, e.g. Figs. 4, 4a, performing financial processing in a computer. Graff does not specifically disclose a selector function or the term attributes. As understood, selector functions and attributes used in financial processing using a computer have been common knowledge in the art. To have provided such for Graff would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Further, as understood, the depending claims are recite subject matter, e.g. apportionment amounts, that have been common knowledge in the financial processing art. To have incorporated each with Graff would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Applicant's May 8, 2006 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are not convincing. However, the claim language is nebulous and as understood Graff discloses Applicant's claimed invention.

6. A further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892. Fincher, US 5,341,251, discloses a selector function 70.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**.

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/016,779

Art Unit: 3627

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627